CORPORATION OF THE

TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH CARDINAL

BY-LAW NO. 2020-32

"A BY-LAW TO AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF A SITE PLAN CONTROL AGREEMENT"

WHEREAS: The Council of the Corporation of the Township of Edwardsburgh/Cardinal deems it advisable to enter into a Site Plan Control Agreement with Upper Canada District School Board respecting development of a property described as:

LT 56-60, 66-69, 73-74, 84, 89, 94 PL 40; PT LT 55, 75-76, 82-83, 90-91, 93 PL 40 AS IN PR16869; PT PARKLT D, E PL 40 PT 7, 15R9456, PT 2, 15R9632; PRINCE ST PL 40; PT SOUTH ST, KING ST, VICTORIA ST PL 40 CLOSED BY PR17985, PR155279, AS IN PR17986, PT 1, 15R9626 & PT 6, 15R9456; EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL ROLL #0701 701 040 04602 0000 PIN 68141-0403

AND WHEREAS: Authority is granted under Section 41 of the Planning Act, RSO 1990, c.P. 13, as amended to the Council of the Corporation of the Township of Edwardsburgh/Cardinal to enter into such agreement;

NOW THEREFORE: The Council of the Corporation of the Township of Edwardsburgh/Cardinal enacts as follows:

- 1. That the Mayor and Clerk are hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Upper Canada District School Board and that a signed copy of said agreement is attached hereto as Schedule "A".
- 2. This by-law comes into effect upon passing.

Read a first and second time in open Council this 25 day of May, 2020.

Read a third and final time, passed, signed and sealed in open Council this 25 day of May, 2020.

Clerk Mayor

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH CARDINAL SITE PLAN CONTROL AGREEMENT AS AUTHORIZED BY BYLAW 2020-32

THIS AGREEMENT made in triplicate this $\underline{26}$ day of \underline{May} , 2020

BETWEEN: UPPER CANADA DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD.

Hereinafter called the "Owner" of the first part

AND: THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL

Hereinafter called the "Township" of the second part

- WHEREAS the Owner has applied to the Township in accordance with the Site Plan Control provisions of Bylaw No. 2002-31, to permit the development of the lands described in Schedule "A" attached hereto;
- AND WHEREAS the Owner has agreed with the Township to undertake, furnish and perform the works, material, matter and things required to be done, furnished and performed in the manner hereafter described in connection with the proposed use of the land and in conformity with the Zoning Bylaw;
- NOW THEREFORE THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH THAT in consideration of other good and valuable consideration and the sum of two dollars (\$2.00) of lawful money of Canada now paid by the Owner to the Municipality, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Statutes, Bylaws, Licenses, Permits and Regulations

The Owner undertakes and agrees that prior to the commencement of any development, redevelopment, site alteration, construction or other works, the Owner shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals required by the Government of Canada, the Province of Ontario or any agency thereof, the Township and any other affected agency. The Owner undertakes and agrees to comply with the requirements of all relevant municipal bylaws, provincial and federal statutes and regulations, permits, approvals or licenses in addition to the terms of this agreement.

2. Schedules

The Owner hereby agrees that prior written approval by the Township and/or an amendment to a Schedule shall be required for any departure, change or modification from the Schedules.

The following list of schedules attached hereto are deemed to be and form part of this Agreement:

2.1 Schedule "A" -Legal Description of the Land to which this Agreement applies.

2.2 Schedule "B" -Conceptual Plan / Site Plan.

2.3 Schedule "C" -Storm Water Management, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan

2.4 Schedule "D" -Special Conditions.

3. Land to Which this Agreement Applies

This Agreement is deemed to apply to the lands described in Schedule "A".

4. Registration of Agreement and Commencement of Work

The Owner covenants that he/she/they shall not commence any development or site alteration whatsoever until this Agreement is registered on title against the land at the expense of the Owner.

5. Completion Date

The owner agrees to complete the work required under this Agreement within two (2) years of the date of the commencement of works. Notwithstanding, if exceptional circumstances prevent the owner from complying with the requirements, the Township may extend the completion date.

6. Default

In the event the Owner defaults in the performance of an obligation under this agreement or for reasons of public safety as determined by the Chief Building Official under the Building Code Act of Ontario or the Fire Marshall under the Fire Protection & Prevention Act of Ontario, the Township may, at the expense of the Owner, enter upon the lands and do all such matters and things as may be required to comply with any Order of the Chief Building Official or Assistant to the Fire Marshall (local Fire Chief). Such actual costs incurred by the Township plus an overhead charge of 15%, shall be deemed to be

recoverable from the Owner by invoice and may be recovered in like manner as municipal taxes pursuant to the Municipal Act.

7. Facilities and Work to be Provided and Maintained

The Owner covenants and agrees to provide and maintain, at his/her/their sole expense each and every facility, work or other matter illustrated on the Schedules to the satisfaction of the Township, acting in a commercially reasonable manner, and to engage qualified professionals, where required, to design and carry forth any of the work undertaken under this Agreement. This shall include the restoration of any faulty workmanship or materials.

8. Certificate of Compliance

Upon the satisfactory completion of all matters and things to be provided and maintained by the Owner pursuant to this Agreement, the Owner shall be entitled to obtain a Certificate of Compliance from the Township confirming that all provisions of this Agreement have been complied with in full to the date of such Certificate.

9. Notice to Parties

Any Notice by any party to this agreement to another shall be given in writing and mailed or delivered to the Party:

9.1 In the case of the Municipality:

To the Clerk of the Township of Edwardsburgh/Cardinal 18 Centre Street P.O. Box 129 Spencerville, ON KOE 1XO

9.2 In the case of the Owner(s):

Upper Canada District School Board C/O Jeremy Hobbs 225 Central Ave West Brockville, ON K6V 5X1

10. Severability

The terms of this agreement are severable, and the unenforceability of any part hereof shall not render the whole unenforceable. No forbearance or failure by the Township to strictly enforce any term or covenant herein shall prevent the Township from insisting upon strict compliance by the Owner subsequent to such forbearance or failure to strictly enforce its terms. The terms of this agreement may not be altered except by a subsequent agreement in writing between the parties.

11. Successors and Assigns

This Agreement shall ensure to the benefit of and be binding upon the respective heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns of each of the parties hereto.

12. Force and Effect

This Agreement comes into force after it has been executed by all parties hereto and registered against the title to the lands described in Schedule "A".

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Parties have hereunto set their hands and seals, corporate parties over the hand(s) of their duly authorized signing officers in that regard.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH CARDINAL

Mavo)Clerk

We have authority to bind the Corporation.

UPPER CANADA DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

/We have authority to bind the Corporation.

DATED AT Spencerville, ON this <u>26</u> day of <u>May</u>, 2020.

SCHEDULE "A"

Site Plan Control Agreement

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY

LT 56-60, 66-69, 73-74, 84, 89, 94 PL 40; PT LT 55, 75-76, 82-83, 90-91, 93 PL 40 AS IN PR16869; PT PARKLT D, E PL 40 PT 7, 15R9456, PT 2, 15R9632; PRINCE ST PL 40; PT SOUTH ST, KING ST, VICTORIA ST PL 40 CLOSED BY PR17985, PR155279, AS IN PR17986, PT 1, 15R9626 & PT 6, 15R9456; EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL

PIN: 68141-0430

SCHEDULE "B"

Site Plan Control Agreement

CONCEPTUAL PLAN / SITE PLAN

EXHIBITS: The following Exhibits attached hereto shall form part of this Schedule:

Exhibit 1- General Site Plan

Exhibit 2- Site Servicing Plan

Exhibit 3- Site Grading Plan

Exhibit 4- Details



	3	F	2	1		
		LEGEND:				
RIFY LOCATION O	F ALL BURIED RUCTION.		EXISTING PROPERTY LINE NEW EDGE OF ASPHALT	ARCHITECTU	RE 49	
	ED BY COLLETT		EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT NEW CURB	1345 ROSEMOUNT AVENUE		
M ZONE 18 (75°W I WE A RELATIVE AC	RE IN METRES, LONGITUDE) CCURACY TO		NEW DEFRESSED CORB NEW CURB TRANSITION NEW ASPHALT DRIVEWAY	CORNWALL, ONTARIO, CANADA K6J TEL: 613-933-5604 FAX: 613-936-0335 ARCHITE	3E5 ECTURE49.COM	
MENTS OF A REMO	TE AREA AT A REFERENCE		EXISTING ASPHALT DRIVEWAY NEW LINE PAINTING			F
	POINT (SCP)	x x	NEW FENCE EXISTING FENCE	CONSULTANT - SUB CONSULTANT:		
PROVIDED BY COL		s	NEW DITCH CENTERLINE NEW SWALE			
I ON THIS PLAN AR OBSERVATIONS.	E GEODETIC,		NEW TOP OF SLOPE NEW CULVERT EXISTING CULVERT		-	• P
		•	EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE	1345 ROSEMOUNT AVENUE CORNWALL, ONTARIO, CANADA K6J PHONE 613-933-5602 I FAX: 613-936-0335 I WV	3E5 VW.WSP.COM	
STS AND SOCCER	POSTS ARE TO	Ŏ	NEW STORM CATCHBASIN MANHOLE		-	
		0 D	EXISTING STORM MANHOLE NEW CATCH BASIN	CONSULTANT - SUB CONSULTANT:		
CTURE (CASH ALLO	OWANCE)	□ 	EXISTING CATCH BASIN EXISTING HYDRANT			
JRE) -TAC-TOE STRUCT	URE.	• _{UP}	EXISTING VALVE EXISTING UTILITY POLE	800 SECOND STREET CORNWALL, ONTARIU TEL: 613-935-3775 J F.	ī WEST O CANADA, K6J 1H6 AX: 613-935-6450	
	N	•B uc	NEW BOLLARD AS PER 12/C2.1 EXISTING UNDERGROUND LINE	ENGINEERING WEBSITE: EVBengine	ering.com	_
JASH ALLOWANCE NIOR STRUCTURE JRE)) (ONE HALF OF	B	EXISTING BELL LINE			F
SH ALLOWANCE)		B	EXISTING CABLE PEDESTAL EXISTING BELL PEDESTAL	SE		
ENSURE PLACEME	NT AND FANDARDS	▲ * ₁₈	ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS	A Marcillor	\frown	
HEELCHAIR RAMP	TO BE PROVIDED	= BF =	NEW BARRIER FREE SIGN AND POST	SK.A.MACCULLOCH		
UCTURE. ENSURE EDE WITH FALL ZOI	LOCATIONS OF NES OF PLAY	FR NP	NEW NO PARKING SIGN AND POST NEW SIGN AND POST	2020/03/13		
		 X	EXISTING SIGNAGE SITE TEMPORARY BENCHMARK	CE OF ONTRA		
	x		BUILDING ENTRANCE	CLIENT:		
	×		SLOPE OR FLATTER. NEW HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT			
``\			AS PER 4/C2.1 NEW LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT		ER	
	×		AS PER 8/C2.1 NEW CONCRETE SIDEWALK AS PER		a d a Nemen	D
	×		0.P.S.D. 310.010M/C3.1 OR 0.P.S.D. 310.020M/C3.1	District School	Board	
`\\				PROJECT:		
			NEW LIGHT DUTY SILT FENCE	CENTENNIAL '67 PUBLIC	SCHOOL	
\leftarrow			NEW STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAM AS PER O.P.S.D. 219.180/C3.1	2020 BUS LANE, PAR	KING,	
				FRONT ENTRANCE AND RE	NOVATIONS	+-C
		No. (m) T.B.M. DI	ESCRIPTION	DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NO REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY 449 THE CONTRACTOR SHA	COPYRIGHT: T BE USED, REPRODUCED OR	
NEW 0.5m WIDE 5				DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OM COMMENCING WORK. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.	ISSIONS PRIOR TO	
AS PER 7/C2.1.						С
AS PER DETAIL 4/	C2.1.		N N		-	, <u>F</u> −−
				ISSUED FOR - REVISION:		
NE WAY' SIGN RB-2	91					
)						
ER	1 I					
DR NEW						
ETAIL				1 2020/03/13 REVISED PER TOWN	VSHIP COMMENTS	
				IS RE DATE DESC	RIPTION DATE:	В
				199-00220-00 ORIGINAL SCALE:	NOVEMBER 2019	
				1:500	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.	
	1	\ \		K.M.		
				K.B.W.		
				F.L.	25mm	
	_					
				EXHIBIT	1	
				GENERAL SITE PLAN	- N	
					-	А
				SHEET NUMBER		
				C1.2		
				SHEET #: 2 OF 8 ISSUE:	REV #	
				REVISED PER TOWNSHIP COMME	NTS 0	
	3	▲ F	2		II	
1				I		





		10	F	9	8	
F						
F •						
D						
C ►	-					
C F	-					
D						
D						
A						
		10	▲	9	8	

7	6	C	5 •	4

	10	F ▼		9		8	F V
F	E P M A	PRO.	JECT INFORMATIO HAIDER NASRULLAH 647-850-9417 HAIDER.NASRULLAH@ADS-PIPE.CO ####################################	DN M			DS.
	P	ROJECT NO:	########		ADVAN	ICED DRAINAGE S	YSTEMS, INC.
F -					########	######## ###########	+#####################################
	<u>s</u>	C-740 ST	ORMTECH CHAME		TIONS	IMPORT	TANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING A
E	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	CHAMBERS SH COPOLYMERS COPOLYMERS CHAMBERS SH THE REQUIRE! COLLECTION O CHAMBER ROW IMPEDE FLOW THE STRUCTU THAT THE LOA LONG-DURATII TRUCK WITH O CHAMBERS SH "STANDARD PI LOAD CONFIG MAXIMUM PER REQUIREMENT TO CHAMB STACKIN TO ENSU STACKIN TO ENSU STACKIN TO ENSU	HALL BE STORMTECH SC-740. HALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL HALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "F MENTS OF ASTM F2418-16a, "STAND CHAMBERS". WS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, I OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION RAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, TI D FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AAS ON DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DUI CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND M HALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND AI RACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN URATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INST MANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND IS FOR HANDIDTH OF CHAMBERS DU IG LUGS. JRE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTAL mm (2). JRE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH S N 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418 SHALL BE GR INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMP IVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.	BE MANUFACTURED FROM V POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE ST DARD SPECIFICATION FOR PO UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL S N. HE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, A SHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN S RATION LIVE LOADS, BASED O MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCE LLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURA N OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRI FANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHT O 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH ON: JRING SHIPPING AND HANDLII ALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION REATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 5 TERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73	RGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE ORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", J LYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL ST PACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT ND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHA PECIFICATIONS, SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FO IN THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AAS ES. TIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH IGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION O D DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM CC PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK IG, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NC (1, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DI 50 LBS/IN/IN. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER D IF), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM	1. STOF 2. STOF 2. STOF 2. STOF 2. STOF 2. STOF 3. CHAM STOF * WOULD 4. ALL ENSURE 5. DR: 1) 6. HTO DESIGN 6. ASTM F2787, 7. CHAMBERS". 8. DVER 2) 9. ADS STOF ERLOCKING 1. STOF 1. EFINED IN 1. EFORMATION 2. M .	RMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALL CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS. RMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED II MBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILL BE INSTALLED II MERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILL METHODS: STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BE BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVA BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COM TS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SE/ TAIN MINIMUM - 150 mm (6") SPACING BETWEEN TH EDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST I CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES NEER. RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT RWWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRU FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT RMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED II USE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OVER SC-740 NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, OR I
	8. 9. 92013 ADS, N	ONLY CHAMBE ENGINEER OR DELIVERING C • THE STR • THE STR DEAD LC LRFD BR • THE TES EXCEPT CHAMBERS AN	ERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE S OWNER, THE CHAMBER MANUFAC HAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE A RUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEM VICTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEM VAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE M IDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOF T DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SF THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MC ND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED	SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL TURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRI SEALED BY A REGISTERED PF IONSTRATE THAT THE SAFET MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM R THERMOPLASTIC PIPE. PECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 SHA DOULUS USED FOR DESIGN.	BE ALLOWED, UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE ICTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFO OFESSIONAL ENGINEER. Y FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF TH L BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD D ANUFACTURING FACILITY.	DESIGN DRE 3. FULL TO 1.95 FOR IE AASHTO USE OF A I ACCEPTAE ESIGN STANDARI CONTACT S	NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, ORT WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CC WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS ON DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN TH BLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGE D WARRANTY. STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIO
				ACCEPTA	BLE FILL MATERIALS:	STORMTECH SC	C-740 CHAMBER SYSTEMS
		D	MATERIAL LOCATION FINAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAY FOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTT PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED	V VER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOM OF FLEXIBLE GRADE ABOVE NOTE THAT	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOIL	S, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS.	
- C ►		C ,	PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART NITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LA TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('E ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C	OF THE 'D' LAYER. AYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE B' LAYER) TO 18" (450 mm) . NOTE THAT PAVEMENT C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREG/ PROCESSED AGGR MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS LAYER.	ATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR REGATE. CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS	AASHTO M1451 A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M431
		B F	E MBEDMENT STONE : FILL SURROUT FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' ABOVE.	NDING THE CHAMBERS LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANG	ULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57
	PLEAS		FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW (SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTT	CHAMBERS FROM THE OM) OF THE CHAMBER.			AASHTO M431 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57
C F►	2. s 3. W C 4. O	TORMTECH COMI TORMTECH COMI (HERE INFILTRAT OMPACTION REQ NCE LAYER 'C' IS	PACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET ION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMI UIREMENTS. PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN ADS G	FOR YA LOCATION MATERIAL ISED BY COMPACTION, FOR S BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP T GEOSYNTHETICS 601T NON-W	SY NELSO BE CLEAN, CRUSSHED, AND COMPACTED IN 6" (15 SWHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6" (15 TANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FL/ O THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT	OME CANNELE, A SECUENCATION 0 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FI AT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED E SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO	UL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR. 3Y RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION E D REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAY
			PERIMETER STONE (SEE NOTE 4)				PAVEMENT LAYER (DESIGNED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER)
		(CAN	EXCAVATION WALL BE SLOPED OR VERTICAL)				
			12" (300 mm) MIN	THE REPORT			
			- (coo ma) and		SUBGRADE SOILS - (SEE NOTE 3)	Z (150 mm) MIN	
В	NO 1. C 2. S 3. T C 4. P 5. R	TES: HAMBERS SHALL C-740 CHAMBERS HE SITE DESIGN IF ONSIDERATION F ERIMETER STONE EQUIREMENTS FC EQUIREMENTS FC TO MAINTAIN TO ENSURE 1 LBS/IN/IN. AN COLORS.	MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF AST S SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDA ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR AS OR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTAL DR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION: I THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLA THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAF D b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORM	IM F2418-16a, "STANDARD SP INCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STAN SSESSING THE BEARING RES L MOISTURE CONDITIONS. LLY TO THE EXCAVATION WA G SHIPPING AND HANDLING, (ITION AND BACKFILL, THE HEI PE DURING INSTALLATION, a) IATION DURING INSTALLATION	ECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CC DARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN STANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) .L FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAN HAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLO GHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFIN I AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73	DRRUGATED WALL STORMWATER I OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGA OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND T /ATION WALLS. DCKING STACKING LUGS. E LESS THAN 2". ED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2/ ° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE	COLLECTION CHAMBERS". TED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS HE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH 418 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 550 PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW

1	
CONSULTANT, SUB CONSULTANT:	Е 49 55 ГИПЕ 49.СОМ
1345 ROSEMOUNT AVENUE CORNWALL, ONTARIO, CANADA K6J PHONE 613-933-5602 FAX: 613-936-0335 WW	5 √.WSP.COM
CONSULTANT - SUB CONSULTANT:	/EST CANADA, K6J 1H6 : 613-935-6450 ng.com E
SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE	
CLIENT: CLIENT: UPPI UPPI CANA MSMSMSMSMSM District School	E R D A B o a r d
CENTENNIAL '67 PUBLIC 2020 BUS LANE, PAR FRONT ENTRANCE AND RE DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT	SCHOOL ING, OVATIONS
REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY A49. THE CONTRACTOR SHAL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMIS COMMENCING WORK. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.	
ISSUED FOR - REVISION:	
Image:	
IS RE DATE DESIGNAL SCALE: AS SHOWN	ATE: IOVEMBER 2019 IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
CHECKED BY: F.L. DISCIPLINE: CIVIL TITLE:	25mm
O.P.S.D.'S SHEET NUMBER:	A
SHEET #: 8 OF 8 ISSUE: REVISED PER TOWNSHIP COMMEN	TS 0
DATE OF: 2020/03/13	

SCHEDULE "C"

Site Plan Control Agreement

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT, SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL PLAN

EXHIBITS: The following Exhibits attached hereto shall form part of this Schedule:

Exhibit 1- Stormwater Management Report Prepared by EVB Engineering

Exhibit 2- Sediment & Erosion Control Plan Prepared by EVB Engineering

Centennial '67 Public School 2020 Bus Lane, Parking, Front Entrance and Renovations Stormwater Management Report

Prepared for Upper Canada District School Board. JOB#: 19141 | March, 6, 2020 SUBMITTED BY: EVB Engineering

800 Second St. West, Cornwall ON K6J 1H6

613.935.3775 EVBengineering.com

Table of Contents

1. Intr	oduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	General Description & Land Use	1
2. Sto	rmwater Management	1
2.1	Site Overview and Catchment Areas	1
2.2	Site Grading	2
2.3	Stormwater Runoff	2
2.4	Stormwater Quantity	2
2.5	Stormwater Quality	3
3. Ser	vicing	4
3.1	Storm Sewer Sizing	4
4. Sch	edule	4

APPENDIX A -	FIG.1 – Pre-development Storm Catchment Areas
	FIG.2 – Post-development Storm Catchment Areas
APPENDIX B -	Weighted 'C' Factor Calculations
	Runoff Calculations
	5-Year Required Storage Calculations
	100-Year Required Storage Calculations
	Provided Storage Calculations
	Stormtech SC-740 Volume Calculations
APPENDIX C -	Stormceptor EF4 Sizing Report
APPENDIX D -	Storm Sewer Design Sheet
	FIG.3 – Stormwater Catchment Areas – Sewer Design

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

This stormwater management and servicing report is submitted on behalf of the Upper Canada District School Board in support of Site Plan Approval for a new bus lane, parking lot, front entrance and renovations for the Centennial 67' Public School located in Spencerville, ON.

This report completed by EVB Engineering (EVB) encompasses the stormwater management and servicing for the proposed site improvements.

1.2 General Description & Land Use

The existing Centennial 67' Public School is located off of Henderson Street, and consists of Lots 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 66, 67, 68, 69, 73, 74, 84, 89 & 94 and Part of Lots 55, 75, 76, 82, 83, 90, 91 & 93 and Part of Park Lot "D" and "E" and Prince Street and Part of Victoria Street, South Street and King Street, on Registered Plan No. 40 in the Township of Edwardsburg-Cardinal, County of Grenville.

The proposed school upgrades are illustrated in the provided drawings and consists more specifically of a new asphalt bus loop, asphalt parking lot reinstatement, playground upgrades, front entrance upgrades and renovations to the interior of the building.

2. Stormwater Management

Standard practices are such that the quantity of stormwater runoff from a new development be reduced to acceptable (pre-development) levels. More specifically, the 5-year and 100-year post-development storm events shall match the corresponding pre-development peak flows. In addition, 80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal shall be achieved for the site.

As such, EVB Engineering has reviewed the existing and proposed stormwater drainage patterns to analyze the impacts, if any, associated with an increase in stormwater runoff resulting from the construction of the proposed development.

2.1 Site Overview and Catchment Areas

The existing drainage patterns were established based on the topographic survey completed by Collett Surveying Ltd. and are illustrated in Fig.1 – Pre-Development Stormwater Catchment Areas (Appendix A). As can be seen, the existing site is divided into two catchment areas with A1 (1.12ha) discharging to the South Nation River via a series of existing storm sewers and an open ditch located at the south eastern limits of the site, and A2 (1.46ha) discharging to the existing storm sewers on Bennet St. via a series of storm sewers and swales located on site.

The post-development drainage patterns were established based on the proposed grading plan of the site and are shown on Fig.2 – Post-Development Stormwater Catchment Areas, which can also be found in Appendix "A".

Swales, catchbasins and storm sewers will be constructed to collect stormwater and convey it to the two existing outlets on-site as noted above.

2.2 Site Grading

The site grading plan was completed to ensure a minimum slope of 1.0% is achieved for all grassed and asphalt areas with terracing varying between 3H:1V to 4H:1V along ditches and where grass slopes exceed the maximum allowed.

2.3 Stormwater Runoff

Stormwater runoff was calculated using the Rational method and associated design criteria as described below. The Rational method is a valid approximation of the peak flow generated by a storm event, provided it is used for drainage areas smaller than 100 hectares.

2.3.1. Runoff Coefficient

The pre- and post-development weighted runoff coefficients were calculated for the various catchment areas based on runoff coefficients of 0.90 for asphalt areas, 0.95 for roof area, 0.5 for gravel areas and 0.20 for grassed areas all as per the MOECP's *Design Guidelines for Sewage Works* (2008). Calculations may be found in Appendix "B".

2.3.2. Time of Concentration

The time of concentration represents the longest time that it will take for a water droplet to runoff the watershed to its discharge point, and at which time the peak flow will occur.

The initial time of concentration for the open ditch outlet (A1) was calculated to be 29.01 minutes using the Aviation method. Similarly, the time of concentration for A2 was calculated to be 19.20 minutes.

2.3.3. Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensities were derived using the intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) Curve Lookup application available on the Ontario Ministry of Transportation website.

2.4 Stormwater Quantity

As previously mentioned, the 5-year and 100-year post development flows will be attenuated to the corresponding pre-development levels. Furthermore, since post-development catchment areas A3 and A4 will be uncontrolled, their corresponding 5yr and 100yr. post development peak flows will be deducted from the allowable (5yr and 100 pre-development) peak flows.

Storage will be regulated by a 100mmØ orifice installed on the outlet pipe of STCEF4, with an underground stormwater chamber system installed upstream to provide the necessary quantitative storage.

It should also be noted that storage provided in the proposed storm structures, trench drains, storm pipes, and ditches has conservatively not been taken into consideration in the above storage calculations.

Table 2.1 and Table 2.2 below summarizes the pre- and post-development scenarios for the site for storm events with return periods of 5 years and 100 years, respectively.

Return	eturn Pre Development					Post Development				Required
Period (years)	Area Label	Area (ha)	C Factor	Flow (L/s)	Area Label	Area (ha)	C Factor	Uncont. Flow (L/s)	Cont Flow (L/s)	Storage (m³)
	A1	1.12	0.32	45.12	A3	1.12	0.34	61.13	61.13	
	A2	1.47	0.33	79.31	A4	0.54	0.54	47.53	47.53	24.00
5					A5	0.92	0.30	44.75	15.96	34.89
		2.59		124.43		2.59		153.42	124.62	

Table 2.1 – 5 Year Peak Runoff for Pre and Post Development Flows

Table 2.2 – 100 Year Peak Runoff for Pre and Post Development Flows

Return	Pre Development				Post Development				Required	
Period (years)	Area Label	Area (ha)	C Factor	Flow (L/s)	Area Label	Area (ha)	C Factor	Uncont. Flow (L/s)	Cont Flow (L/s)	Storage (m³)
	A1	1.12	0.32	75.32	A3	1.12	0.34	102.04	102.04	
400	A2	1.47	0.33	132.37	A4	0.54	0.54	79.34	79.34	64.95
100					A5	0.92	0.30	74.69	20.68	64.85
		2.59		207.69		2.59		256.08	202.06	

As can be seen in the table above, the total post-development runoff for the 5-year and 100-year storm events has been attenuated to be equal to or less than the corresponding pre-development conditions.

Detailed calculations including weighted runoff coefficients, peak runoff, required storage, for the 5-year storm and 100-year storm, and provided storage are included in Appendix "B".

2.5 Stormwater Quality

As previously mentioned, an 80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal rate will be achieved for the controlled areas of the site (A5).

It is proposed that the required TSS removal rate be achieved with the use of an oil & grit interceptor, located at one centralized location encompassing the storm leads of CBMH120 and CB10 and therefore encompassing any additional stormwater runoff as a result of the new asphalt bus loop proposed at the northern extents of the site.

A Stormceptor EF4 is proposed to be installed which will provide 83% TSS removal for controlled area, A5. Sizing reports for the oil and grit interceptor can be found in Appendix "C".

2.5.1. Stormceptor Recommended Maintenance

The manufacturer recommends annual servicing of the Stormceptor units, however the frequency of maintenance may need to be increased or reduced based on local conditions once sediment depth reaches 203mm, for the EF4 model.

Sediment depth can be measured from the surface via a dipstick tube equipped with a ball valve, which is then inserted through the riser pipe. Maintenance is then performed using a standard vacuum truck. In case of oil, fuel or chemical spill, the unit is to be inspected immediately and a licensed waste management company is to remove and dispose of the contaminants.

2.5.1. Sediment Control During Construction

Straw bale flow check dams as per OPSD 219.180 and silt fencing as per OPSD 219.110 will be installed at the start of construction and will be maintained during the project. Sediment control measures will be removed only once sodding is completed and adequate grass cover has been achieved. The contractor will be required to monitor the sediment control measures weekly and following any significant storm consisting of 13 mm of precipitation or greater. The contractor will also be responsible to repair the sediment control measures as required to ensure their proper operation.

3. Servicing

3.1 Storm Sewer Sizing

The proposed storm sewer collection system was sized to match the diameter of the existing based on the peak flow of a storm event with a 5-year return period and the design criteria described above (catchment areas, runoff coefficients, time of concentration, rainfall intensity) as well as a Manning coefficient of 0.013 to achieve a minimum velocity of 0.75 m/s as per Ontario Building Code guidelines.

Storm sewer design sheets may be found in Appendix "D".

4. Schedule

This stormwater management report is prepared in support of the Site Plan Control application for the proposed improvements to the Centennial 67' Public School. The Owner intends to proceed with construction as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted, EVB Engineering

Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng. Municipal Engineer

APPENDIX A

FIG.1 – Pre-Development Storm Catchment Areas FIG.2 – Post-Development Storm Catchment Areas

APPENDIX B

Weighted 'C' Factor Calculations Runoff Calculations 5-Year Required Storage Calculations 100-Year Required Storage Calculations Provided Storage Calculations Stormtech SC-740 Volume Calculations

Weighted C Factor Calculations

Project Name: Centennial 67' Public School **Project No:** 19141 **Client:** Upper Canada District School Board

A1 - Pre-Development

Surface/Development Type	Coefficient	Area (m ²)
Asphalt/Concrete	0.9	272
Roof	0.95	1489
Gravel	0.5	261
Precast Paving	0.75	0
Grassed & Undeveloped	0.2	9202.72
Σ Areas	11224.72	
Weighted 'C' Factor	0.32	

A3 - Post-Development

Surface/Development Type	Coefficient	Area (m ²)
Asphalt/Concrete	0.9	590
Roof	0.95	1489
Gravel	0.5	61
Precast Paving	0.75	0
Grassed & Undeveloped	0.2	9084.72
Σ Areas	11224.72	
Weighted 'C' Factor	0.34	

A5 - Post-Development

Surface/Development Type	Coefficient	Area (m ²)
Asphalt/Concrete	0.9	1260
Roof	0.95	16
Gravel	0.5	118
Precast Paving	0.75	0
Grassed & Undeveloped	0.2	7841.28
Σ Areas	9235.28	
Weighted 'C' Factor	0.30	

Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng Reviewed By: Francois Lafleur, P.Eng. Date: 2020/03/06

A2 - Pre-Development

Surface/Development Type	Coefficient	Area (m ²)
Asphalt/Concrete	0.9	2506.73
Roof	0.95	53.65
Gravel	0.5	184.76
Precast Paving	0.75	0
Grassed & Undeveloped	0.2	11911.22
Σ Areas	14656.36	
Weighted 'C' Factor	0.33	

A4 - Post-Development

Surface/Development Type	Coefficient	Area (m ²)
Asphalt/Concrete	0.9	2495
Roof	0.95	28
Gravel	0.5	325
Precast Paving	0.75	0
Grassed & Undeveloped	0.2	2573.08
Σ Areas	5421.08	
Weighted 'C' Factor	0.54	

Pre-Development & Post Development Runoff Calculations

Project Name: Centennial 67 Public School Project No: 19141 Client: UCDSB Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng Reviewed By: Francois Lafleur, P.Eng Date: 2020/03/06

Pre-Development Peak Run-off Rates (Allowable)								
Contributing A	Area	Runoff Data						
No	Ца	6			ım/hr)	n/hr) Q (L/s)		
NO.	Па		AC	ic (min.)	5 Year	100 Year	5 Year	100 Year
A1 - Pre-Development	1.12	0.32	0.36	29.01	44.71	74.63	45.12	75.32
A2 - Pre-Development	1.47	0.33	0.48	19.20	59.66	99.58	79.31	132.37
Total	2.59						124.43	207.69

Uncontrolled Post-Development Peak Run-off Rates								
Contributing A	rea				Runo	off Data		
Ne	L la	C C	40	To (min)	l (m	nm/hr)	Q	L/s)
NO.	па	L L	AC IC (min.)	5 Year	100 Year	5 Year	100 Year	
A3 - Post-Development	1.12	0.34	0.38	20.00	57.98	96.77	61.13	102.04
A4 - Post-Development	0.54	0.54	0.29	20.00	57.98	96.77	47.53	79.34
A5 - Post-Development	0.92	0.30	0.28	20.00	57.98	96.77	44.75	74.69
Total	2.59						153.42	256.08

Controlled Post-Development Peak Run-off Rates								
Contributing A	rea	Runoff Data						
No	Ца	6	10	To (min)	l (mi	m/hr)	Q (L/s)
NO.	па	L L	AC IC (min.)	5 Year	100 Year	5 Year	100 Year	
A3 - Post-Development	1.12	0.34	0.38	20.00	57.98	96.77	61.13	102.04
A4 - Post-Development	0.54	0.54	0.29	20.00	57.98	96.77	47.53	79.34
A5 - Post-Development	0.92	0.30	0.28	20.00			15.96	20.68
Total	2.59						124.63	202.06

5 Year Required Storage Calculations

Project Name: Centennial 67 Public School Project No: 19141 Client: UCDSB Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng. Reviewed By: Francois Lafleur, P.Eng Date: 2020/03/06

Rational Method Storage Computation Storage Rate Method

Contributing Area (Contolled)					
No. Ha C					
A5 - Post-Development	0.92	0.30			
Σ Area	0.92				
Weighted 'C'	0.30				

С			
0.30		Storm Event	Q (L/s)
0.92	Total Allow. Release Rate	5 Year	15.76
0.30	Total Actual Release Rate	5 Year	15.96

ΕV

Time (Min.)	l (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Actual Release Rate (L/s)	Required Storage Rate (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
5	152.79	117.93	15.96	101.98	30.59
10	94.12	72.65	15.96	56.69	34.01
15	70.89	54.72	15.96	38.76	34.89
20	57.98	44.75	15.96	28.79	34.55
25	49.60	38.29	15.96	22.33	33.50
30	43.67	33.71	15.96	17.75	31.95
35	39.21	30.26	15.96	14.31	30.05
40	35.71	27.57	15.96	11.61	27.86
45	32.89	25.39	15.96	9.43	25.47
50	30.56	23.58	15.96	7.63	22.89
55	28.59	22.06	15.96	6.11	20.16
60	26.90	20.76	15.96	4.81	17.31
65	25.44	19.63	15.96	3.68	14.34
70	24.15	18.64	15.96	2.69	11.28
75	23.02	17.76	15.96	1.81	8.14

Orifice Flow Calculations

Water Elevation (m)	Orifice Diameter (mm)	Head (m)	Flow (L/s)	Provided Storage (m3)
94.17	100.00	0.53	15.96	34.89
Orifice C/L elev.=	93.64	m		
Cd =	0.63			

g =

9.81 m/s2

100 Year Required Storage Calculations

Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng. Reviewed By: Francois Lafleur, P.Eng Date: 2020/03/06

Rational Method Storage Computation Storage Rate Method

Contributing Area (Contolled)					
No. Ha C					
A5 - Post-Development	0.92	0.30			
Σ, Area	0.92				
Weighted 'C'	0.30				

	Storm Event	Q (L/s)
Total Allow. Release Rate	100 Year	26.30
Total Actual Release Rate	100 Year	20.68

Time (Min.)	l (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Actual Release Rate (L/s)	Required Storage Rate (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
5	255.03	196.85	20.68	176.17	52.85
10	157.10	121.26	20.68	100.58	60.35
15	118.33	91.33	20.68	70.66	63.59
20	96.77	74.69	20.68	54.02	64.82
25	82.80	63.91	20.68	43.23	64.85
30	72.89	56.26	20.68	35.58	64.05
35	65.44	50.51	20.68	29.84	62.66
40	59.61	46.01	20.68	25.34	60.81
45	54.90	42.38	20.68	21.70	58.59
50	51.00	39.37	20.68	18.69	56.07
55	47.72	36.83	20.68	16.15	53.31
60	44.90	34.66	20.68	13.98	50.33
65	42.46	32.77	20.68	12.09	47.17
70	40.31	31.12	20.68	10.44	43.85
75	38.42	29.65	20.68	8.97	40.39

Orifice Flow Calculations

Water Elevation (m)	Orifice Diameter (mm)	Head (m)	Flow (L/s)	Provided Storage (m3)
94.53	100.00	0.89	20.68	64.85
Orifice C/L elev.=	93.64	m		
Cd =	0.63			

g = 9

0.63 9.81 m/s2

Stage vs Storage Calculations

Project Name: Centennial 67 Public School Project No: 19141 Client: UCDSB **Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch**, P.Eng. **Reviewed By:** François Lafleur, P.Eng. **Date:** 2020/03/06

Provided Storage Calculations (Stormtech SC-740 Chamber)									
Water Height (m)	Water Elevation (m)	Incremental Chamber Storage (m3)	Cumulative Chamber Storage (m3)	Comments					
1.067	94.79	1.25	77.510	Top of stone cover					
1.041	94.76	1.25	76.260						
1.016	94.74	1.25	75.009						
0.991	94.71	1.25	73.758						
0.965	94.69	1.25	72.508						
0.940	94.66	1.25	71.257						
0.914	94.63	1.28	70.007	Chamber top					
0.889	94.61	1.34	68.726						
0.864	94.58	1.4	67.387						
0.838	94.56	1.58	65.983	100 Year Water Elevation					
0.813	94.53	1.69	64.404						
0.787	94.51	1.77	62.717						
0.762	94.48	1.83	60.950						
0.737	94.46	1.89	59.115						
0.711	94.43	1.94	57.222						
0.686	94.41	1.99	55.284						
0.660	94.38	2.04	53.296						
0.635	94.36	2.08	51.255						
0.610	94.33	2.11	49.175						
0.584	94.30	2.14	47.064						
0.559	94.28	2.17	44.921						
0.533	94.25	2.2	42.746						
0.508	94.23	2.23	40.542						
0.483	94.20	2.26	38.312						
0.457	94.18	2.28	36.052	5 Year Water Elevation					
0.432	94.15	2.3	33.773						
0.406	94.13	2.32	31.470						
0.381	94.10	2.34	29.146						
0.356	94.08	2.36	26.803						
0.330	94.05	2.38	24.440						
0.305	94.02	2.4	22.061						

Stage vs Storage Calculations

Project Name Project No: 1 Client: UCDS	e: Centennial 6 9141 BB	7 Public School	Designed By: Kevin MacCulloch, P.Eng. Reviewed By: François Lafleur, P.Eng. Date: 2020/03/06			
0.279	94.00	2.41	19.666			
0.254	93.97	2.42	17.257			
0.229	93.95	2.43	14.835			
0.203	93.92	2.45	12.400			
0.178	93.90	2.45	9.955			
0.152	93.87	1.251	7.504	Underside of chamber		
0.127	93.85	1.251	6.253			
0.102	93.82	1.251	5.003			
0.076	93.80	1.251	3.752			
0.051	93.77	1.251	2.501			
0.025	93.75	1.251	1.251			
0.000	93.72	0	0.000	Underside of stone foundation		
Chambers pro	vided:	32				

Chambers provided.	52	ea
Bottom of chamber elev.:	93.87	m
Approximate surface elev.:	95.80	m (varies)
Total Storage, 100 year:	64.85	m3
Total Storage, 5 year:	34.89	m3

Project:	Centennial 67 Sch	ool Rev0	_		
Chamber Mc Units -	del -	SC-740 Metric	Click Here for		
Number of cl Voids in the s	nambers - stone (porosity) -	<u>32</u> 40	%		
Base of Ston Amount of St	e Elevation - tone Above Chambers -	93.69 152	m mm	✓ Include Perimeter St	one in Calculations
Amount of S	one Below Chambers -	152	_mm sa.meters	Min. Area -	. sa.meters

					-	
Height of	Incremental Single	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental Ch	Cumulative	
System	Chamber	Total Chamber	Stone	& St	Chamber	Elevation
(<i>mm</i>)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(meters)
1067	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	77.510	94.75
1041	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	76.260	94.73
1016	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	75.009	94.70
991	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	73.758	94.68
965	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	72.508	94.65
940	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	71.257	94.62
914	0.00	0.05	1.23	1.28	70.007	94.60
889	0.00	0.15	1.19	1.34	68.726	94.57
864	0.01	0.26	1.15	1.40	67.387	94.55
838	0.02	0.55	1.03	1.58	65.983	94.52
813	0.02	0.73	0.96	1.69	64.404	94.50
787	0.03	0.86	0.91	1.77	62.717	94.47
762	0.03	0.97	0.86	1.83	60.950	94.45
737	0.03	1.07	0.82	1.89	59.115	94.42
711	0.04	1.15	0.79	1.94	57.222	94.40
686	0.04	1.23	0.76	1.99	55.284	94.37
660	0.04	1.32	0.72	2.04	53.296	94.35
635	0.04	1.38	0.70	2.08	51.255	94.32
610	0.04	1.43	0.68	2.11	49.175	94.29
584	0.05	1.49	0.66	2.14	47.064	94.27
559	0.05	1.54	0.63	2.17	44.921	94.24
533	0.05	1.59	0.62	2.20	42.746	94.22
508	0.05	1.63	0.60	2.23	40.542	94.19
483	0.05	1.68	0.58	2.26	38.312	94.17
457	0.05	1.72	0.56	2.28	36.052	94.14
432	0.05	1.75	0.55	2.30	33.773	94.12
406	0.06	1.79	0.53	2.32	31.470	94.09
381	0.06	1.82	0.52	2.34	29.146	94.07
356	0.06	1.85	0.51	2.36	26.803	94.04
330	0.06	1.88	0.50	2.38	24.440	94.02
305	0.06	1.91	0.49	2.40	22.061	93.99
279	0.06	1.93	0.48	2.41	19.666	93.96
254	0.06	1.95	0.47	2.42	17.257	93.94
229	0.06	1.97	0.46	2.43	14.835	93.91
203	0.06	1.99	0.45	2.45	12.401	93.89
178	0.06	2.00	0.45	2.45	9.955	93.86
152	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	7.504	93.84
127	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	6.253	93.81
102	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	5.003	93.79
76	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	3.752	93.76
51	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	2.501	93.74
25	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	1.251	93.71
-			-	-	-	

APPENDIX C

Stormceptor EF4 Sizing Report

EVB Engineering | EVBengineering.com

ESTIMATED NET ANNNUAL SEDIMENT (TSS) LOAD
REDUCTION STORMCEPTOR®

Province:	Ontario	Project Name:	Centennial 67 Pub	olic School			
City:	Spencerville	Project Number:	-	-			
Nearest Rainfall Station:	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CAR	TIER Designer Name:	Brandon O'Leary	Brandon O'Leary			
	INT'L AP	Designer Compa	ny: Forterra	Forterra			
NCDC Rainfall Station Id:	6000	Designer Email:	brandon.oleary@	forterrabp.com			
Years of Rainfall Data:	37	Designer Phone:	(905) 630-0359	(905) 630-0359			
Site Name:	Centennial 67 Public School	EOR Name:	Kevin MacCulloch				
Drainage Area (ha):	0 92	EOR Company:	EVB Engineering				
	0.32	EOR Email:	Kevin.MacCulloch	@evbengineering.c	com		
Runon coenicient c.	0.50	EOR Phone:	(613) 861-0958	(613) 861-0958			
Required Water Quality Rur Require Hydrocarbon Spill C	noff Volume Capture (%): 90.0	Yes	Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal			
Upstream Flow Control?		Yes		Provided (%)			
Upstream Orifice Control Fl	ow Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	74.69	EF04	83			
Estimated Water Quality Flo	ow Rate (L/s):	9.97	EF06				
Peak Conveyance (maximur	n) Flow Rate (L/s):	74.69	EFO0 EEO10	91			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			EF012	92			
		Recommended	d Stormceptor EFO	Model: EFO	4		
	Estimate	d Net Annual Sediment	(TSS) Load Reducti	ion (%): 83			
		Water Overlite De			~		

THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patentpending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including highintensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterways.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV *Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators* for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle	Percent Less	Particle Size	Dercent
Size (µm)	Than	Fraction (µm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5

Upstream Flow Controlled Results

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
1	51.3	51.3	0.77	46.0	38.0	93	47.7	47.7
2	8.7	60.0	1.53	92.0	77.0	90	7.8	55.5
3	5.8	65.8	2.30	138.0	115.0	86	5.0	60.5
4	4.6	70.4	3.07	184.0	153.0	81	3.7	64.3
5	4.2	74.6	3.84	230.0	192.0	77	3.2	67.5
6	3.2	77.8	4.60	276.0	230.0	73	2.3	69.8
7	2.6	80.4	5.37	322.0	269.0	71	1.8	71.7
8	2.4	82.8	6.14	368.0	307.0	67	1.6	73.3
9	1.9	84.7	6.91	414.0	345.0	63	1.2	74.5
10	1.6	86.3	7.67	460.0	384.0	60	1.0	75.4
11	1.3	87.6	8.44	506.0	422.0	57	0.7	76.2
12	1.1	88.7	9.21	552.0	460.0	56	0.6	76.8
13	1.3	90.0	9.97	598.0	499.0	55	0.7	77.5
14	1.1	91.1	10.74	645.0	537.0	54	0.6	78.1
15	0.6	91.7	11.51	691.0	575.0	53	0.3	78.4
16	0.8	92.5	12.28	737.0	614.0	52	0.4	78.9
17	0.7	93.2	13.04	783.0	652.0	52	0.4	79.2
18	0.5	93.7	13.81	829.0	691.0	52	0.3	79.5
19	0.6	94.3	14.58	875.0	729.0	51	0.3	79.8
20	0.5	94.8	15.35	921.0	767.0	51	0.3	80.0
21	0.2	95.0	16.11	967.0	806.0	51	0.1	80.1
22	0.4	95.4	16.88	1013.0	844.0	51	0.2	80.3
23	0.5	95.9	17.65	1059.0	882.0	51	0.3	80.6
24	0.4	96.3	18.41	1105.0	921.0	50	0.2	80.8
25	0.1	96.4	19.18	1151.0	959.0	50	0.1	80.9

www.imbriumsystems.com

Stormceptor*

Stormceptor* EF Sizing Report

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)	
26	0.3	96.7	19.95	1197.0	997.0	50	0.2	81.0	
27	0.4	97.1	20.72	1243.0	1036.0	50	0.2	81.2	
28	0.2	97.3	21.48	1289.0	1074.0	49	0.1	81.3	
29	0.2	97.5	22.25	1335.0	1113.0	49	0.1	81.4	
30	0.2	97.7	23.02	1381.0	1151.0	49	0.1	81.5	
31	0.1	97.8	23.79	1427.0	1189.0	48	0.0	81.5	
32	0.2	98.0	24.55	1473.0	1228.0	48	0.1	81.6	
33	0.1	98.1	25.32	1519.0	1266.0	47	0.0	81.7	
34	0.1	98.2	26.09	1565.0	1304.0	47	0.0	81.7	
35	0.1	98.3	26.85	1611.0	1343.0	47	0.0	81.8	
36	0.2	98.5	27.62	1657.0	1381.0	46	0.1	81.9	
37	1.5	100.0	28.39	1703.0	1419.0	45	0.7	82.6	
38	0.1	100.1	29.16	1749.0	1458.0	44	0.0	82.6	
39	0.1	100.2	29.92	1795.0	1496.0	43	0.0	82.6	
40	0.1	100.3	30.69	1841.0	1535.0	42	0.0	82.7	
41	0.1	100.4	31.46	1888.0	1573.0	41	0.0	82.7	
42	0.1	100.5	32.23	1934.0	1611.0	40	0.0	82.8	
43	0.2	100.7	32.99	1980.0	1650.0	39	0.1	82.8	
44	0.1	100.8	33.76	2026.0	1688.0	38	0.0	82.9	
45	0.1	100.9	34.53	2072.0	1726.0	37	0.0	82.9	
46	-0.9	100.0	35.29	2118.0	1765.0	37	N/A	82.6	
47	0.1	100.1	36.06	2164.0	1803.0	36	0.0	82.6	
48	-0.1	100.0	36.83	2210.0	1841.0	35	N/A	82.6	
49	0.0	100.0	37.60	2256.0	1880.0	34	0.0	82.6	
50	0.0	100.0	38.36	2302.0	1918.0	34	0.0	82.6	
	Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction =								

RAINFALL DATA FROM OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L AP RAINFALL STATION

INCREMENTAL AND CUMULATIVE TSS REMOVAL FOR THE RECOMMENDED STORMCEPTOR® MODEL

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inlet Pipe Diameter		Max Outlet Pipe Diameter		Peak Conveyance Flow Rate	
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION

Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

Stormceptor® EF and **EFO** offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid reentrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.

info@imbriumsystems.com

Stormceptor*

Stormceptor* EF Sizing Report

INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

Pollutant Capacity

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Mo Diam	del eter (ft)	Depth Pipe In Sump	(Outlet vert to Floor) (ft)	Oil Vo	olume	Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth * (mm) (in)		Maxi Sediment	mum Volume * (ft ³)	Maxin Sediment	num Mass **
	(m)	(11)	(m)	(11)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(11)	(Kg)	(0)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	197	52	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	348	92	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	545	144	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	874	231	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	1219	322	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = $1.6 \text{ kg/L} (100 \text{ lb/ft}^3)$

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To			
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment	Superior, verified third-party	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer			
and scour prevention technology	performance				
Third-party verified light liquid capture	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer,			
and retention for EFO version	locations	Site Owner			
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer			
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor			
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner			

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef

STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREAMENT DEVICE

PART 1 - GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1 4ft (1219mm) Diameter OGS Units: 6ft (1829mm) Diameter OGS Units: 8ft (2438mm) Diameter OGS Units: 10ft (3048mm) Diameter OGS Units: 12ft (3657mm) Diameter OGS Units: 1.19m3 sediment / 265L oil 3.48m3 sediment / 609Ll oil 8.78m3 sediment / 1,071L oil 17.78m3 sediment / 1,673L oil 31.23m3 sediment / 2,476L oil

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing shall be determined using historical rainfall data and a sediment removal performance curve derived from the actual third-party verified laboratory testing data. The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.**

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m2.

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators,** with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This reentrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m2 to 2600 L/min/m2) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.** However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.

APPENDIX D

Storm Sewer Design Sheet FIG.3 –Stormwater Catchment Areas

Storm Sewer Design Sheet - 5 Year Storm

Client: Upper Canada District School Board

Service Location and Contributing Areas						Runoff Data					Outlet Pipe Data									
Location	Mar	nhole		Contributin	g Area	с	C AC Σ Tc I		Q	Size	Slope	Qcap	Q/Qcap	Velocity	Length	Δ Elev	Pipe	Inverts		
	From	То	No.	Ha	Σ Areas			AC	(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(I/s)		(m/s)	(m)	(m)	U/S	D/S
	EXCB1	CBMH120	A1	0.18	A1	0.15	0.027	0.027	15.0	70.9	5.38	300	0.35%	57.2	0.1	0.81	34.05	0.119	94.19	94.07
	CBMH120	CBMH110	A2	0.27	A1 to A2	0.29	0.077	0.104	15.7	68.7	20.03	300	1.00%	96.7	0.2	1.37	26.87	0.269	93.92	93.65
	CB10	STCEF4	A3	0.24	A3	0.31	0.073	0.073	15.0	70.9	14.58	200	0.40%	20.7	0.7	0.66	25.9	0.104	93.84	93.74
	STCEF4	OUTLET	A4	0.33	A1 to A4	0.52	0.172	0.349	16.0	67.7	66.18	300	0.50%	68.4	1.0	0.97	49.5	0.248	93.59	93.34
			Design Pa	rameters				Designed	Ву:			Project:								
<u>Coefficients</u> Mannings n =	0.0130	1						K.MacCu	lloch, P.E	ng		Centennial '67 Public School Site Works								
								Reviewed	By:			Location:								
								F. Lafleur, P.Eng				Spence	erville, C	Ontario						
								Dwg. Reference:			Project Nu	ımber:		Date:			Sheet Nun	nber:		
								Fig.3 - St	orm Catc	hment Are	as		19141			6-Mar-20			1/1	

EXHIBIT 2

PART 1 GENERAL

1.1 OBJECTIVES

- .1 Prevent the loss of soil from construction site resulting from storm water runoff, wind erosion and construction activities.
- .2 Prevent the sedimentation of storm sewers and receiving waters.
- .3 Prevent air pollution caused by dust and particulate matter.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF WORK

- .1 Implement the Erosion and Sedimentation Control (ESC) measures shown on the project drawings and described in these specifications.
- .2 Install ESC products in accordance with contract drawings.
- .3 Inspect ESC measures on a weekly basis and following all significant storm events. If deficiencies are found, make repairs within 24 hours of detection.
- .4 Maintain an ESC inspection log to document observations, deficiencies and corrective actions.

1.3 REFERENCES

.1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. "Chapter 3: Sediment and Erosion Control" and Chapter 4: Other Controls". Document No. EPA 832-R-92-005 Storm Water Management for Construction Activities.

1.4 SUBMITTALS

- .1 Inspection Checklist Schedule A
 - .1 Prepare the checklist to include all measures shown on the drawings and described in the specifications.
 - .2 Complete a new checklist with each inspection and keep completed checklists with the weekly inspection log documentation.
- .2 Weekly Inspection Log Schedule B
 - .1 Complete the log on a weekly basis and keep all documentation on-site and available for review by the Contract Administrator.
 - .2 The inspection log shall be completed for each inspection, and must document deficiencies for all measures indicated as "Not OK" on the inspection ckecklist.
 - .3 Each deficiency must be initialled and each log signed, only after all corrective measures have been completed and documented.
 - .4 Submit all ESC documentation (e.g.: inspection checklists and inspection log) to the Contract Administrator after final landscaping is completely installed.
- .3 Photographs:
 - .1 A minimum of three (3) digital photographs shall be taken (from various viewpoints) of each ESC measure implemented on-site immediately following installation.

Centennial '67 Public School	Erosion and Sedimentation	Section 31 32 25
2020 Building Renovations & Site Works	Control	Page 2
199-00220-00		-

- .2 A minimum of three (3) digital photographs shall be taken (from various viewpoints) of ESC measure implemented on-site at the end of construction or prior to dismantling, whichever comes first.
- .3 Submit all digital photographs to Contract Administrator for documentation within seven (7) days of being taken.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.1 MATERIALS

- .1 Geotextile: Type II Non-woven as per OPSS 1860
- .2 Straw Bales and Silt Fencing as per OPSD 219.180 and 219.130.

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.1 INSPECTIONS AND MAINTENANCE

- .1 Inspection procedures specified below summarize the EPA document and shall be followed in conjunction with details, drawings and manufacturer requirements.
- .2 Inspect all control measures at least once each week (unless otherwise noted) and following any significant storm (13 mm of precipitation or greater). Complete the inspection log for each inspection, and keep in an accessible location on site until all corrective measures have been documented. Submit each completed log to the Contract Administrator for review.
- .3 Maintain all measures in good working order. If a repair is necessary, initiate within 24 hours of report.
- .4 Stabilized Construction Entrance: Apply additional gravel as required, remove sediments and other materials from all areas to minimize clogging. Keep adjacent public roadway(s) free from sediment.
- .5 Site Arrangement: Verify that movement of construction equipment to appropriate area occurs at the same time as movement of construction activities.
- .6 Material Stockpile: Inspect for effective prevention of runoff and erosion. Remove built-up sediment from silt fence when it has reached 1/3 the height of the filter fabric.
- .7 Preservation of Natural Vegetation: Routine maintenance shall include mowing, fertilizing, liming, irrigating, pruning and weed and pest control, depending on the specific species and environmental conditions. Remove any debris and ensure area is protected from traffic.
- .8 Buffer Zones: Routine maintenance shall include mowing, fertilizing, liming, irrigating, pruning and weed and pest control, depending on the specific species and environmental conditions. Remove any debris and ensure area is protected from traffic.
- .9 Soil Retaining Measures: Inspect for structural damage and repair as required.
- .10 Permanent Seeding: Inspect for sufficient growth and water conditions. Replant areas if cover does not provide erosion control.

- .11 Silt Fence: Silt fence to be inspected for depth of sediment, tears, loose fabric attachment at fence posts, channel erosion beneath fence, sagging or collapse, and to ensure the fence posts are firmly in the ground. Built-up sediment is to be removed from silt fence when it has reached 1/3 the height of the fence. Repair such that fence is in original installation condition.
- .12 Outlet Protection: Inspect for erosion and pooling of water. Necessary repairs to be made as required to reduce exit velocity of runoff. If a riprap apron is used, inspect for riprap displacement and damage to filter fabric.
- .13 Check Dams: Inspect for sediment and debris accumulation and erosion of sides. Sediment should be removed when it reaches ½ the original dam height. Repair dam as required.
- .14 Drainage Swale: Inspect for dips or low points along the swale where water is pooling and ensure that runoff is being directed to sediment-trapping measure used onsite.
- .15 Subsurface Drains: Inspect pipe for breaks or clogging by sediment or debris. Remove blockage immediately, replace any broken sections and restabilize the surface. Check inlets and outlets for sediment or debris, and remove and dispose of these materials properly.

3.2 REMOVAL OF PRODUCTS

.1 ESC measures shall not be removed and shall be fully inspected and maintained until final landscaping is complete.

Inspection Checklist – Schedule A

Project Name:		
Completed By:		

Date:

During Construction: Contractor to complete this Inspection Checklist **once a week** as per the ESC Specification. For each measure, check the "OK" box if there are no repairs or maintenance required; check the "Not OK" box if attention is required as per the inspection/maintenance procedures in the ESC specification.

For all measures marked as "Not OK", the Inspection Log must be completed. List the measures that are deficient in the "Deficiencies" column on the Inspection Log, and record the maintenance performed. Submit both the Inspection Checklist and Inspection Log to the Contract Administrator after all maintenance activities have been completed and recorded.

ок	Not OK	Location on Site	Measure

Centennial '67 Public School	Erosion and Sedimentation	Section 31 32 25
2020 Building Renovations & Site Works	Control	Page 5
199-00220-00		-

Inspection	Log –	Schedule	В
------------	-------	----------	---

	Erosion & Sedimentation Control Weekly Inspection Log								
Log	Start Dat <u>e:</u>								
Log	End Date :								
Log	Completed B y:								
Com	pany:								
Tele	phone No <u>.:</u>								
Inspection Date	General Observations (ie: seasonal conditions)	Location & Deficiency of ESC Measure	Corrective Measures	Initials					

I hereby certify that the information provided is complete, correct and complies with the requirements of EPA Best Management Practices.

Signature	Title	Date

END OF SECTION

	3		F			2					1			
		LEG	GEND	<u>.</u>								1		
JRE (CASH ALLOWANCE)					EXISTING PROP NEW EDGE OF A	PERTY LINE ASPHALT 5 OF ASPHALT		A	RCHI	TEC	TUI	RE	49	
ER STRUCTURE (ONE HALF OF E) AC-TOE STRUCTURE.			DC		NEW CURB	ED CURB				345 ROSEMOL	JNT AVENUE	1 255		
				<u>a</u>	NEW CURB TRA NEW ASPHALT	ANSITION DRIVEWAY		-	TEL: 613-933-5604	ALL, UNTARIC FAX: 613-936-	0335 ARCHIT	ECTURE49.CO	DM	
DR STRUCTURE (ONE HALF OF E)			×	-x	NEW LINE PAIN	TING	CONSU	JLTANT -	- SUB CONSULTANT:					F
ALLOWANCE)			×	- x	EXISTING FENC	E NTERLINE								
ALL SAFETY STANDARDS			S		NEW SWALE NEW TOP OF SI	_OPE								
	ĒD		===	 _ <u>_</u>	EXISTING CULV	'ERT TARY MANHOLE			1	345 ROSEMOL		1255		⊸ ₽
E WITH FALL ZONES OF PLAY			0		NEW STORM M	ANHOLE ATCHBASIN			PHONE 613-933-	5602 FAX: 613	3-936-0335 W	WW.WSP.CON	1	
	V		0		MANHOLE EXISTING STOF	RM MANHOLE	CONSU	JLTANT -	- SUB CONSULTANT:					-
TO ADDRESS ANY ISSUES			⊔ □ -∲		EXISTING CATC	CH BASIN RANT								
OWS:			• _{UP}		EXISTING VALV EXISTING UTILI	E TY POLE		1	F\/R	800 SE CORN	ECOND STREE WALL, ONTAR	T WEST IO CANADA, K	6J 1H6	
3T5			• B UG		NEW BOLLARD	AS PER 12/C2.1 ERGROUND LINE			ENGINEERING	WEBS	ITE: EVBengine	eering.com	450	
ETAIN OLS TO COMPLETE PROJECT. ASSOCIATED COSTS	5		—— UG — в —		EXISTING CAR	LINE E DEDESTAL								E
CONTRACT.			B		EXISTING CABL EXISTING BELL	PEDESTAL PEDESTAL RMER AS PER	SEAL:		OFESSIONA					1
DIMENTATION CONTROL (ESC) ALLED AND MAINTAINED FOR TIN LOCATIONS INDICATED ON			▲ * _{LS}		ELECTRICAL DE	RAWINGS		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Kenin maller		A	\leq	\mathbf{i}	
ESC MEASURES PROVIDED	н		■ ■ FR		NEW BARRIER	FREE SIGN AND POS ⁻ TE SIGN AND POST	' { i	Šj K	A.MACCULLOCI 100205607	+ 蛍)		Z	\geq	
E EVENT THE CONTROL FICIENT. REFER TO CONTRACT NSTALLATION/INSPECTION			NP 		NEW NO PARKI NEW SIGN AND	NG SIGN AND POST POST	\	138	2020/04/15	ARD	V	<		
			。 ※		EXISTING SIGN	AGE RY BENCHMARK	CLIEN	T:	AVCE OF OF					4
xx		┯╢┅┯	ידותי ידותי	لىايا	NEW TERRACIN	RANCE IG AT 4H:1V				_				
					NEW HEAVY DU AS PER 4/C2.1	ITER. JTY ASPHALT				TT	Рр	FD		
				·····	NEW LIGHT DU AS PER 8/C2.1	TY ASPHALT			Ч		ÂN	A D	A	
			4		NEW CONCRET O.P.S.D. 310.01 310.020M/C3.1	E SIDEWALK AS PER 0M/C3.1 OR O.P.S.D.			MSMS Distri	M∃ M ct S	5/15 chool	n s in s B o a	r d	D
			· / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		NEW BUILDING	OUTLINE	PROJE	ECT:						
	_		·/////////////////////////////////////		EXISTING BUILD	DING OUTLINE TY SILT FENCE		_						
				0	AS PER O.P.S.D NEW STRAW BA). 219.110/C3.1 ALE FLOW CHECK		CEI	VTENNIA 2020 BLL	L '67 F S I ANI	PUBLIC F PAR		OCL	
					DAM AS PER O.	P.S.D. 219.180/C3.1	-FR(ON	T ENTRA	NCE A	ND RE	NOVA	TIONS	- C
	Г			RY B	ENCH MA	ARKS	4							
	\ \	No.	(m)	T.B.M. DE	SCRIPTION			AIMER: RAWING A	AND DESIGN IS COPYRI			OT BE USED, REP	COPYRIGHT RODUCED OR	:
		1.0.101.11	00.02				DIMENS COMME THIS DE	SIONS AN ENCING W RAWING I	D UTILITY LOCATIONS A VORK. S NOT TO BE SCALED.	ND REPORT ALL	ERRORS AND ON	ISSIONS PRIOR	TO	
														С
		١												
							ISSUEI	d for - I	REVISION:					
<u></u>														
							2		2020-04-15	ISSUED		ER/REVISE	D PER SNC	
							IS	RE	2020-03-24 DATE	ISSUED	DESC			B
							199-(00220-	-00			NOVEMB	ER 2019	
									LE.			IF THIS BAI LONG, AI PLOTTI	R IS NOT 25mm DJUST YOUR NG SCALE.	
							K.M.	N BY:				-		
							K.B.V	N. KED BY:						
							F.L.	LINE:				2	5mm	
							TITLE:			CIV	IL			
	T		<u>+</u>									0		
									GENE	AND SE		TATION		
									C	UNTROI	_ PLAN			А
							SHEET	NUMBE	R:	<u>^</u>	0			1
							SHEET	⁻ #:		2 C1	.Z DF 8		-	
							ISSUE:	UED		DER	_		REV #	1
	3		A L			0	DATE	OF: 202	20/04/15		1		U	
	J		F			2								

SCHEDULE "D"

Site Plan Control Agreement

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Access Facilities

As per site plan forming Exhibit 1 OF Schedule "B". No additional entrance ways shall be established without the consent of the Roads Superintendent and the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville, if on a County Rd.

2. Stormwater, Sediment & Erosion Control

Drainage, stormwater, sediment and erosion control shall be managed as per Schedule "C".

3. Refuse Storage and Disposal

The owner shall be responsible for the disposal of refuse from his/her/their property.

4. Snow Removal

Snow removal is the responsibility of the owner.

5. Location of Building Structures and Facilities

As per Site Plan forming Exhibit 1 of Schedule "B" to this Agreement.

6. Additional Renovations

Prior to the commencement of any work on additions or alterations to existing structures on the property, as per Site Plan forming Exhibit 1 of Schedule "B" to this Agreement, a building permit must be issued by the Chief Building Official for any works that require a building permit.

LRO # 15 Notice

The applicant(s) hereby applies to the Land Registrar.

Properties							
PIN	68141 - 0430 LT						
Description	LT 56-60, 66-69, 73-74, 84, 89, 94 PL 40; PT LT 55, 75-76, 82-83, 90-91, 93 PL 40 AS IN PR16869; PT PARKLT D, E PL 40 PT 7, 15R9456, PT 2, 15R9632; PRINCE ST PL 40; PT SOUTH ST, KING ST, VICTORIA ST PL 40 CLOSED BY PR17985, PR155279, AS IN PR17986, PT 1, 15R9626 & PT 6, 15R9456; EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL						
Address	7 HENDERSON ST SPENCERVILLE						

Consideration

\$0.00 Consideration

Applicant(s)

The notice is based on or affects a valid and existing estate, right, interest or equity in land

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL Name Address for Service 18 Centre Street, Spencerville, Ontario, K0E 1X0

I, Patrick Sayeau, Mayor and David Grant, CAO, have the authority to bind the corporation.

This document is not authorized under Power of Attorney by this party.

Statements

This notice is pursuant to Section 71 of the Land Titles Act. This notice is for an indeterminate period Schedule: See Schedules

Signed By

Tel

Amanda Jayne Spink Pietersma

Box 428, 522 St. Lawrence St. Winchester K0C 2K0

acting for Applicant(s)

2020 06 16 Signed

613-774-2670 Fax 613-774-2266

I have the authority to sign and register the document on behalf of the Applicant(s).

Submitted By				
AULT & AULT	Box 428, 522 St. Lawrence St. Winchester K0C 2K0	2020 06 16		
Tel 613-774-2670				
Fax 613-774-2266				
Fees/Taxes/Payment				
Statutory Registration Fee	\$65.05			
Total Paid	\$65.05			
File Number				

Applicant Client File Number :

1369-372

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND DIRECTION

TO:	Samantha Berry	
	(Insert lawyer's name)	
AND TO:	AULT & AULT	
	(Insert firm name)	
RE:	SITE PLAN CONTROL AGREEMENT - PIN 68141-0430	("the transaction")
	(Insert brief description of transaction)	

This will confirm that:

- I/We have reviewed the information set out in this Acknowledgement and Direction and in the documents described below (the "Documents"), and that this information is accurate;
- You, your agent or employee are authorized and directed to sign, deliver, and/or register electronically, on my/our behalf the Documents in the form attached.
- You are hereby authorized and directed to enter into an escrow closing arrangement substantially in the form attached hereto being a copy of the version of the Document Registration Agreement, which appears on the website of the Law Society of Upper Canada as the date of the Agreement of Purchase and sale herein. I/We hereby acknowledge the said Agreement has been reviewed by me/us and that I/We shall be bound by its terms;
- The effect of the Documents has been fully explained to me/us, and I/we understand that I/we are parties to and bound by
 the terms and provisions of the Documents to the same extent as if I/we had signed them; and
- I/we are in fact the parties named in the Documents and I/we have not misrepresented our identities to you.
- I,______, am the spouse of _______, the (Transferor/Chargor), and hereby consent to the transaction described in the Acknowledgment and Direction. I authorize you to indicate my consent on all the Documents for which it is required.

DESCRIPTION OF ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS

The Document(s) described in the Acknowledgement and Direction are the document(s) selected below which are attached hereto as "Document in Preparation" and are:

- A Transfer of the land described above.
- A Charge of the land described above.
- D Other documents set out in Schedule "B" attached hereto.

, this 16 day of June ille . 2020. Dated at

WITNESS

(As to all signatures, if required)

THE CORPORATIO NO THE TOWNSHIP OF cu EDWARDSB INAL O PATRICK SA ΞAI DAVID GRANT - CAO

I/WE HAVE AUTHORITY TO BIND THE CORPORATION

LRO # 15 Notice

.

,

This document has not been submitted and may be incomplete.

In preparation on 2020 06 12 at 14:01

yyyy mm dd Page 1 of 1

Properties		
PIN	68141 - 0430 LT	
Description	LT 56-60, 66-69, 73-74, 84, 89, 94 PL 40; PT LT 55, 75-76, 82-83, 90-91, 93 PL 40 AS IN PR16869; PT PARKLT D, E PL 40 PT 7, 15R9456, PT 2, 15R9632; PRINCE ST PL 40; PT SOUTH ST, KING ST, VICTORIA ST PL 40 CLOSED BY PR17985, PR155279, AS IN PR17986, PT 1, 15R9626 & PT 6, 15R9456; EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL	
Address	7 HENDERSON ST SPENCERVILLE	

Consideration

Consideration \$0.00

Applicant(s)

The notice is based on or affects a valid and existing estate, right, interest or equity in land

Name

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EDWARDSBURGH/CARDINAL Acting as a company 18 Centre Street, Spencerville, Ontario, K0E 1X0 Address for Service

I, Patrick Sayeau, Mayor and David Grant, CAO, have the authority to bind the corporation.

This document is not authorized under Power of Attorney by this party.

Statements

This notice is pursuant to Section 71 of the Land Titles Act.

This notice is for an indeterminate period

Schedule: See Schedules

File Number

Applicant Client File Number :

1369-372